Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/530,263	SEKIDO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Erin Snelting	4151
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Erin Snelting</u> .	(3)	
(2) Daniel Christenbury.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 September 2008	Time: <u>7:35 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1-36		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner presented lack of unity restriction requirement and offered election by phone. Applicants elected by phone Group III, claims 16-27, without traverse.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)